



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/715,458	11/19/2003	Norihiko Saito	10517/200	3917

23838 7590 03/17/2006
KENYON & KENYON LLP
1500 K STREET N.W.
SUITE 700
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

EXAMINER

CHUO, TONY SHENG HSIANG

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1746

DATE MAILED: 03/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/715,458		SAITO ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Tony Chuo		1746	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2 and 10 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/19/03, 5/12/05</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____. |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 2, 12, 14, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Regarding claim 2, it is unclear what the difference is between the change in the operational state and the change in a normal operational state. Regarding claim 12, it is unclear as to what the difference is between the operation device and the device control portion. It is also unclear what a moving object detecting portion is. Regarding claim 14, it is unclear where the fuel gas supply is located. Regarding claim 15, it is unclear where the cooling system is installed.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 1, 2, and 10 are objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 1, the phrase "the at least one predetermined operation pattern is repeated", in claim 2, the phrase "change in a" is repeated, and in claim 10, the phrase "one of a temperature" should be changed to "one of the temperature". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-7, 11, and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fuglevand et al (US 6096449). The Fuglevand reference teaches a fuel cell and a method of controlling the fuel cell that comprises a controller which operates the fuel cell according to a predetermined operation condition and diagnoses a state of the fuel cell by detecting a change in the operational state of the fuel cell and comparing the change in the operational state to a predetermined operation condition (See column 2, lines 41-45). It also teaches a controller that diagnoses the state of the fuel cell upon sensing a given output voltage, output current, or an open state voltage at the voltage and current sensors and causes the valve to be adjusted into a predetermined fluid metering relationship relative to the supply of the fuel gas which increases or decreases the flow amount of fuel gas (See column 3, lines 19-26). It also teaches a controller that determines whether there is a mechanical failure or deterioration due to a change in the output voltage that is less than a predetermined value (See column 8, lines 16-29).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fuglevand et al (US 6096449) in view of Bai et al (US 6620538). The Fuglevand reference is applied to claims 1-7, 11, and 16-19 for reasons stated above. However, it does not expressly teach a temperature adjusting device and a controller that detects the internal resistance or temperature of the fuel cell, diagnoses the state of the fuel cell based upon the resistance or temperature and operates the fuel cell according to a predetermined temperature pattern. The Bai reference does teach temperature sensors "46" and a controller that determines the resistance of the fuel cell, detects the temperature of the fuel cell, diagnoses the state of the fuel cell based upon these parameters and operates the fuel cell according to a predetermined temperature level (see Figure 16 and column 6, lines 20-25 and column 12, lines 31-36). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Fuglevand fuel cell to include temperature sensors and a controller that detects the resistance and temperature of the fuel cell, diagnoses the state of the fuel cell based upon these parameter and operates the fuel cell according to a predetermined temperature level in order to improve the performance of the fuel cell by more accurately determining the operating state of the fuel cell.

8. Claims 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fuglevand et al (US 6096449) in view of Iwasaki (US 6447939). The Fuglevand reference is applied to claims 1-7, 11, and 16-19 for reasons stated above. However, it does not expressly teach a fuel cell installed on a moving object, a power adjusting

Art Unit: 1746

portion connected to an output terminal of the fuel cell, and a fuel gas supply portion.

The Iwasaki reference does teach a fuel cell "21" installed on a vehicle, a electrical power adjuster "31" connected to an output terminal of the fuel cell, and a fuel gas supply "1", "3", "5" (see Figure 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to install the Fuglevand fuel cell in a vehicle with a electrical power adjuster and a fuel gas supply in order to provide an electrical power distribution system for a vehicle capable of achieving sufficient running performance.

9. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fuglevand et al (US 6096449) in view of Iwasaki (US 6447939) as applied to claims 12-14 and in further view of Yoshizawa et al (US 2003/0003334). However, the references do not expressly teach a cooling system which cools the fuel cell. The Yoshizawa reference does teach a cooling system "22", "23", "24" which cools the fuel cell "20" (see Figure 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Fuglevand fuel cell system to include a cooling system in order to improve the performance of the fuel cell by maintaining a heat balance between the heat generated by the fuel cell and the heat radiated by the cooling system.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tony Chuo whose telephone number is (571) 272-0717. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30AM to 5:00PM.

Art Unit: 1746

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571) 272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TK 3/15/06

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Michael Barr', with a long horizontal line extending from the end of the signature.

MICHAEL BARR
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER